

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,081	04/08/2004	Shigetaka Haga	4372.P0051US	1128
	7590 02/20/2007 L BOUTELL & TANIS,	EXAMINER		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
KALAMAZOC	KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631 ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER	
			1725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/821,081	HAGA, SHIGETAKA	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	•
· .	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFR	e, which ! 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (P)	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4 and 9-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-8</u> .		II be entered and an exp	lanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached	1.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	A	1

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument against JP 35-5165288A with respect to a lack of a heating plate, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, the heating plate is well known as described in both Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and JP2000036370A.